	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/006,545	NAIR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Lynn Blank</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 February 2004	Time: <u>1130</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/006,545

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative authorized examiners amendment, which would remove attorney docket number from the specification and correct Markush language in claims 2, 4, 5, 11, 17, 19, 34, 35, 43, which amendment would where required change terms "comprising" to "consisting of" change where required "or" to "and" and where required add term "and"...